

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,289	10/643,289 08/19/2003		Harvey A. Furman	56303.010801	4033	
32361	7590	07/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		URIG, LLP	TUCKER, PHILIP C			
MET LIFE F 200 PARK A		J		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10166					

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/643,289	FURMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Philip C. Tucker	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	<u>oril 2005</u> .	<i>.</i>					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-13 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6 and 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
<u> </u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,289

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Good (5877133).

Good teaches a cleaning composition which comprises a lower alkyl ester of a fatty acid, and which preferably comprises a glycol ether as a coupling agent (see abstract and column 4, lines 43-47). Good also teaches the use of polyalkylene glycol ethers within the scope of the invention as nonionic surfactants (column 3, lines 51-59), and antioxidants (column 5, lines 33-35). Terpene alcohols may also be included as in claim 9. The use of the term up to is also inclusive of 0% in claims 9 and 10. Good differs from the present invention in that a specific example of a composition which comprises the ester in the range of 40 to 99% is not disclosed. However, Good teaches that the compositions comprising the ester to combination of coupling agent and surfactant may be at a ratio as high as 2:1, wherein water is only optionally added (column 5, lines 16-26 and claim 9). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize cleaning compositions of Good comprising up to 66.66% ester, given the teaching of Good that the ester to combination of coupling agent and surfactant may be as high as 2:1. It would further be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize

Application/Control Number: 10/643,289 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

levels of the glycol ether coupling agent in the range of greater than 20% up to 25%, given that the combination of surfactant and coupling agent is taught to total around 33.33%. Applicants parent application 08/538,262 only provides support for the glycol ether up to 20%, thus Good qualifies as prior art against the current claims. Applicant's intended use in wells or on well equipment does not distinguish over the prior art.

- 3. Claims 7 and 8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Applicants terminal disclaimer has overcome the obviousness double Patenting rejection. Applicants has argued that Good is not prior art. Good is clearly prior art with respect to the level between 20% and up to 25% of the glycol ether, since such level was not taught in the parent of the present application. No hindsight is involved wherein Good clearly teaches that the combination of surfactant and coupling agent can be at a level of about 33.33%. The rejection is thus maintained.
- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1712

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712